



United States Environmental Protection Agency
 Region 6
 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Request for Approval and Funding for a Removal Action at the Wilcox Oil Residence Site, Bristow, Creek County, Oklahoma

FROM: Stephen Mason, On-Scene Coordinator
 Emergency Management Branch, Emergency Readiness Team (6SF-EP)

TO: Carl E. Edlund, P.E., Director
 Superfund Division (6SF)

THRU: Craig Carroll, Associate Director
 Emergency Management Branch (6SF-E)

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to request and document approval of the selected removal action described herein in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9604, for the Wilcox Oil Residence Site, Bristow, Creek County, Oklahoma. This time-critical removal action provides for the removal of the threat to human health and the environment posed by the identified contaminants of concern listed herein that were a result of the actions conducted by this company.

The proposed plan of action meets the criteria for initiating a removal action under Section 300.415 of the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 C.F.R. § 300.415. This action is expected to require less than twelve months (from mobilization) and \$2 million to complete.

II. SITE CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND

CERCLIS:	OK0001011917
Category of Removal:	Time Critical
Site ID:	06GG]
National Significance:	NPL Site
Latitude:	(b) (6)
Longitude:	



9723685

A. Site Description

1. Removal Site Evaluation

The results of an Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) Expanded Site Inspection (ESI) in June 2011 indicated high concentrations of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) in oily sludge waste at numerous locations onsite, including the residence at (b) (6). In addition, oily sludge leaching from the ground was observed during a site assessment conducted by the EPA OSC on March 20, 2014 and sampling events in May-June, 2015.

The site was included on the EPA National Priorities List (NPL) in December, 2013. From May 22 to June 04, 2015, EPA conducted soil sampling (Phase 2) at several residences on the site. A review of sampling analytical results indicated benzo(a)pyrene is present at the (b) (6) residence in the soil above the EPA Removal Management Levels (RMLs). In addition, during the sampling, visible oily sludge was observed in the samples, as well as small amounts on the ground surface.

2. Physical Location

The Wilcox Refinery Site is located northeast of the City of Bristow, Creek County, Oklahoma at West 221st Street South/Refinery Road, 0.35 mile east of U.S. Highway, Latitude: 35.840804 N, and Longitude: 96.380193W. The residence proposed for action is located (b) (6).

3. Site Characteristics

The site covers approximately 125 acres, and the former refinery area is partially fenced and covers approximately 18 acres. Most of the refinery structures and tanks no longer exist. An intermittent creek flows southward across the eastern portion of the refinery area through a small pond in the southeast corner of the refinery area into Sand Creek.

Refining operations began at the site during the 1920s and ended in the 1960s, when the site was abandoned and most steel structures were salvaged and removed. The property was utilized by two different refineries with overlapping boundaries from 1915 to 1965. Wilcox operated as a crude oil refinery from the 1920s until 1963. A skimming and cracking plant was constructed in 1929. The main components of the plant consisted of a skimming plant, cracking unit and re-distillation battery with vapor recovery system and treatment equipment. Wilcox expanded when it acquired the Lorraine Refinery in 1937, which was located adjacent to Wilcox. The two refineries comprise 125 acres.

The site includes remnants of former oil refining operations and tank farms. Currently, a church and several residents are located within the former refinery boundaries, half of which utilize ground water from private wells. Homes are located in areas of former waste operations.

4. Release or Threatened Release into the Environment of a Hazardous Substance, or Pollutant or Contaminant

Oily sludge leaching from the ground was observed during a site assessment conducted by the EPA OSC on March 20, 2014, as well as during sampling events in May-June, 2015. Elevated concentrations of PAHs related to the disposal and abandonment of the refinery were detected during the ESI on June 2011. These hazardous substances as defined by section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(14), are known to be present at the site.

5. NPL Status

Wilcox Refinery is ranked and identified as a site on the National Priorities List (NPL). It was listed on the NPL on December 12, 2013.

6. Maps, Pictures and Other Graphic Representations

Attachment 1 Site Overview Map

Attachment 2 Residence Sludge Affected Area Map

B. Other Actions to Date

1. Previous Actions

ODEQ was tasked by EPA to complete a Site inspection in March 2009. ODEQ completed a Preliminary Assessment (PA) of the Site in August 2009. ODEQ completed an ESI in June 2011. The assessment activities conducted at the site consisted mostly of surface soil and sediment sampling. The Site was listed on the NPL December 12, 2013. EPA conducted soil sampling in two phases on December 08-11, 2014 and May 18 – June 12, 2015.

In July, 2014, EPA installed a cap with key access on to an abandoned 4" drinking water well located near the church on the refinery site. In August, 2014, installed fencing around a oily sludge contaminated area behind the residences. In May, 2015, EPA installed fencing around the church and abandoned residential properties on the site.

2. Current Actions

This removal action will remove the oily sludge from the front yard of an inhabited residence. The sludge has surfaced in the past, exposing the residences to contaminants above the residential screening levels.

C. State and Local Authorities' Role

1. State and Local Actions to Date

ODEQ has periodically conducted most of the site assessments and investigations at the site between 2009 and 2012.

2. Potential for continued State/Local response

The ODEQ has requested the assistance of EPA in removing the oily sludge from the residential yard. ODEQ does not have the ability to perform these response actions at present, however, ODEQ has expressed interest in assisting with future potential remedial actions.

III. THREATS TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT AND STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

Section 300.415 of the NCP lists the factors to be considered in determining the appropriateness of a removal action. Paragraphs (b)(2)(i), (iii), (iv) and (vii) directly apply to the conditions at the Site. Any one of these factors may be sufficient to determine whether a removal action is appropriate.

A. Threats to Public Health or Welfare

1. Exposure to Human Populations, Animals or the Food Chain, NCP Section 300.415(b)(2)(i).

A family is currently living on the residential property. It is not feasible to fence off the contaminated areas in order to prevent access. The predominant threat to human populations is the potential exposure to the contaminated soils by the most sensitive populations. Exposure to these hazardous substances could be from ingestion, skin absorption, and inhalation. Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs: specifically, benzo(a)pyrene) is a hazardous substance as defined at Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601(14) and further defined at 40 C.F.R. 302.4.



2. High Levels of Hazardous Substances or Pollutants or Contaminants Soils Largely at or Near the Surface, that May Migrate, NCP Section 300.415(b)(2)(iv).

The ESI in June 2011, as well as the sampling in 2015, confirmed the presence of significant concentrations of PAH compounds in subsurface soils.

3. Availability of Other Response Mechanisms, NCP Section 300.415(b)(2)(vii).

Neither the State of Oklahoma nor the local government have the resources to remove the contaminated soil. The State has requested EPA conduct the removal action in advance of future remedial actions.

B. Threats to the Environment

Wildlife, as well as humans, can access the contaminated area, and be exposed to surface contaminants. Removing the contaminated soil will remove the direct exposure to surface contaminants by wildlife as well as human receptors.



IV. ENDANGERMENT DETERMINATION

Actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants from this Site, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this Action Memorandum, may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment.



V. PROPOSED ACTIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS

A. Proposed Actions

1. Proposed Action Description

The EPA will excavate soil containing visible oily sludge from the residential property. The excavated soils will be disposed within an appropriate and approved landfill followed by confirmation sampling and restoration of the property to pre-removal conditions. The cleanup levels to be used for this action are:

Benzo(a)pyrene	0.016 mg/kg
----------------	-------------

Soil excavation will not exceed two feet below ground surface.

2. Contribution to Remedial Performance

Removing the contaminated soil in the residential property will contribute to remedial performance by protecting human health until further remedial action field work begins on-site.

3. Description of alternative technologies

No alternative technologies exist to restrict access to the Site.

4. Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

This removal action will be conducted to eliminate the actual or potential release of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant to the environment, pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., in a manner consistent with the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. As per 40 C.F.R. § 300.415(i), Fund-financed removal actions pursuant to CERCLA Section 104, 42 U.S.C. § 9604, and removal actions pursuant to CERCLA Section 106, 42 U.S.C. § 9606, shall, to the extent practicable considering the exigencies of the situation, attain the applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements under Federal environmental law, including the Toxic Substances and Control Act (TSCA), 15 U.S.C. § 2601 et. seq., the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), 42 U.S.C. § 300 et. seq., the Clean Air Act (CAA), 42 U.S.C. § 7401 et. seq., Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et. seq., the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et. seq., or any promulgated standard, applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements, criteria, or limitation under a state environmental or facility citing law that is more stringent than any Federal standard, requirement, criteria, or limitation contained in a program approved, authorized or delegated by the Administrator and identified to the President by the state.

Due to the fact that consolidation and offsite disposal are the principal elements of this removal action, RCRA waste analysis requirements found at 40 C.F.R. §§ 261.20 and 261.30, RCRA manifesting requirements found at 40 C.F.R. § 262.20, and RCRA packaging and labeling requirements found at 40 C.F.R. § 262.30 are deemed to be relevant and appropriate requirements for this removal action. Because onsite storage of hazardous wastes by EPA is not expected to exceed ninety days, specific storage requirements found at 40 CFR Part 265 are not applicable or relevant and appropriate (See 40 CFR § 262.34). All hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants removed offsite for treatment, storage, or disposal shall be treated, stored, or disposed at a facility in compliance, as determined by EPA, pursuant to 40 CFR § 300.440. All offsite transportation of hazardous materials will be performed in conformity with U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) requirements at 49 CFR § 172.

5. Project Schedule

The EPA anticipates initiating such actions as quickly as possible to address the issues associated with the Site. The estimated time to conduct this removal action is approximately one month.

B. Estimated Costs

Extramural Costs:

ERRS	\$ 336,663
START-3	\$ 70,000
Contingency	\$ 80,687
 TOTAL EXTRAMURAL COSTS	 \$ 487,350

VI. EXPECTED CHANGE IN THE SITUATION SHOULD ACTION BE DELAYED OR NOT TAKEN

If this response action is not taken, the current residents will continue to be exposed to the contaminated soils, and surrounding residents can potentially be exposed to the contaminated soils. In addition, these contaminated soils may migrate with wind, water, and mechanical means which could result in the contamination of additional areas around the site.

VII. OUTSTANDING POLICY ISSUES

There are no known outstanding policy issues associated with this Site.

VIII. ENFORCEMENT

The total budget for this removal action based on full-cost accounting practices that will be eligible for cost recovery. The budgeted costs are estimated to be **\$ 851,934**.

$((\text{Direct Extramural Cost}) + (\text{Direct Intramural})) + (61.55\% \text{ of Total Direct } \{\text{Indirect Cost}\}) =$
Estimated EPA Cost for a Removal Action

$$\text{\$ 487,350} + \text{\$ 40,000} + (61.55\% \times \text{\$ 527,350}) = \text{\$ 851,934}$$

Direct costs include direct extramural costs and direct intramural costs. Indirect costs are calculated based on an estimated indirect cost rate expressed as a percentage of site-specific direct costs, consistent with the full cost accounting methodology effective October 2, 2002. These estimates do not include pre-judgment interest, do not take into account other enforcement costs, including Department of Justice costs, and may be adjusted during the course of a removal action. The estimates are for illustrative purposes only, and their use is not intended to create any rights for responsible parties. Neither the lack of a total cost estimate nor the deviation of actual total costs from this estimate will affect the United States' right to cost recovery.

IX. RECOMMENDATION

This decision documents the selected removal action for the Wilcox Oil Residence Site, Bristow, Creek County, Oklahoma, developed in accordance with CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., and not inconsistent with the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. This action was based on the administrative record for the Site.

Because the conditions at the Site meet the criteria defined in Section 300.415 and 300.305 of the NCP I recommend your approval of the proposed removal action. The total CERCLA extramural project ceiling if approved will be \$ **487,350**. Of this, an estimated \$ **417,350** will come from the Regional Removal Allowance.

APPROVED: _____ DATE: _____

Attachments

Attachment 1 Site Overview Map

Attachment 2 Residence Sludge Affected Area Map